	Case 3:21-cv-09388-RFL Documer	nt 91	Filed 07/01/25	Page 1 of 4	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	NORTHERN D	ATES	87) LP DISTRICT COUR ICT OF CALIFOR SCO DIVISION		
10	IN RE ALPHABET, INC., SHAREHOLI	DER	CONSOLIDATED		
11	DERIVATIVE LITIGATION		Case No.: 3:21-cv-		
12				UNE 18, 2025 COURT ). 90) REQUESTING L BRIEFING	
13			JUDGE: Hon. Rita		
14			DEPT: Courtroom		
15					
16 17					
17					
10					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO JUNE 18, 2025 COURT ORDER REQUESTING SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING CASE NO. 3:21-cv-09388-RFL				

On June 18, 2025, this Court issued an "Order Requesting Supplemental Briefing" (ECF
 No. 90) asking the parties a series of six questions. The first three questions appear, to the parties,
 best addressed by Alphabet which will be done in a separate concurrent filing by Alphabet.
 Questions 4 through 6 are addressed below by the Co-Lead Plaintiffs.

5

## **ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS 4–6**

6 Question No. 4. The Amended Complaint (ECF No. 84) alleges that the Board of Directors
7 ("Board") was repeatedly told of red flags but ignored them because it was controlled by insiders.
8 The settlement, however, appears geared toward ensuring that the Board is notified of red flags
9 through the Risk and Compliance Committee, which would be assisted by the Trust and
10 Compliance Council. How would the proposed measures address the underlying concern at the
11 heart of the Amended Complaint?

Answer No. 4. The amended complaint alleges the Board ignored red flags. The Corporate
Reforms address this allegation by ensuring that the Board will conduct direct and regular
oversight, which will help the Board to engage with any future red flags. *See* Preliminary Approval
Motion, ECF No. 86 at 8–16. Courts have found increased Board-level oversight, such as the new
Risk and Compliance Committee, on compliance issues to be a significant corporate benefit. *In re Pfizer Inc. S'holder Derivative Litig.*, 780 F. Supp. 2d 336, 342 (S.D.N.Y. 2011).

18 <u>Question No. 5</u>. By what deadline do Lead Plaintiffs' Counsel propose to file their motion for
attorneys' fees, such that shareholders may have an opportunity to review it sufficiently in advance
of the objection deadline?

Answer No. 5. Lead Plaintiffs' Counsel's motion for attorney fees shall be filed concurrently with
 their motion in support of final approval of the settlement, which will be at least 28 calendar days
 before the Settlement Hearing.

24 <u>Question No. 6</u>. Any final approval hearing would be held both in person and over Zoom. Please
25 file an amended proposed class notice and an amended proposed preliminary approval order that
26 reflects this fact and includes the link for the Court's public hearing webinar by July 1, 2025.

27 Answer No. 6. Please see attached the revised class notice (see Declaration of Jing-Li Yu in

28 Support of Response to June 18, 2025 Court Order (ECF No. 90) Requesting Supplemental

PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO JUNE 18, 2025 COURT ORDER REQUESTING SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING CASE NO. 3:21-cv-09388-RFL

	Case 3:21-cv-09388-RFL Document 9	1 Filed 07/01/25 Page 3 of 4				
1		no managed and a (11 Eq. D) that in shadow the light				
1		Briefing, Ex. A) and revised proposed preliminary approval order ( <i>id.</i> , Ex. B) that includes the link				
2	for the Court's public hearing webinar.					
3	DATED: July 1, 2025	Respectfully submitted, SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP				
4 5		/s/ Jing-Li Yu Patrick Coughlin (CA Bar No. 111070)				
5 6		Maxwell R. Huffman (CA Bar No. 264687) 600 W. Broadway, Suite 3300				
7	,	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 233-4565				
8		pcoughlin@scott-scott.com mhuffman@scott-scott.com				
9		SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP Geoffrey M. Johnson ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )				
10		12434 Cedar Road, Suite 12 Cleveland Heights, OH 44106				
11	,	Telephone: 216-229-6088 gjohnson@scott-scott.com				
12		SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP				
13		Donald A. Broggi ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Jing-Li Yu (CA Bar No. 342985)				
14		The Helmsley Building 230 Park Avenue, 24th Floor				
15	,	New York, NY 10169 Telephone: 212-223-6444				
16 17		dbroggi@scott-scott.com jyu@scott-scott.com				
17		Lead Attorneys for Co-Lead Plaintiff Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit and				
18 19		Co-Lead Plaintiff Bucks County Employees' Retirement System				
20		BONI, ZACK & SNYDER LLC				
21		Michael J. Boni ( <i>admitted N.D. Cal.</i> ) Joshua D. Snyder ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )				
22		15 St. Asaphs Road Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004 Talarhanau (610) 822 0202				
23	1	Telephone: (610) 822-0203 mboni@bonizack.com				
24		snyder@bonizack.com				
25		Additional Attorneys for Co-Lead Plaintiff Bucks County Employees' Retirement System				
26						
27						
28						
		2				
	PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO JUNE 18, 2025 COURT ORDER REQUESTING SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING CASE NO. 3:21-cv-09388-RFL					

	Case 3:21-cv-09388-RFL Document 91 Filed 07/01/25 Page 4 of 4					
1						
1 2	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>					
2	I hereby certify that on July 1, 2025, I authorized the electronic filing of the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the					
4	e-mail addresses denoted on the Electronic Mail Notice List. All parties not so registered will be					
5	served via e-mail or U.S. Mail.					
6	Executed on July 1, 2025, at New York, New York.					
7	/s/ Jing-Li Yu					
8	JING-LI YU (CA Bar No. 342985) SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP					
9	Counsel for Co-Lead Plaintiffs					
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15 16						
10						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	2 PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO JUNE 18, 2025 COURT ORDER REQUESTING SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING CASE NO. 3:21-cv-09388-RFL					
	CASE NO. 3:21-cv-09388-RFL					